



Agenda

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

DATE 8/14/14 TIME 11:15

SERVED BY Lisa Roach

RECEIVED BY [Signature]

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE HEARING

THURSDAY, August 21, 2014 at 4:30 PM

CITY COUNCILORS' CONFERENCE ROOM

CITY HALL - 200 LINCOLN AVENUE, SANTA FE, NM

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: August 7, 2014
- E. ACTION ITEMS
 - 1) Case #AR-16-14. At the request of CenturyLink, Ron Winters, agent for City of Santa Fe, requests approval for archaeological monitoring of a 2 feet wide by 4 feet deep trench running 739 feet in length on Catron Street between Guadalupe and Griffin Streets in the Historic Downtown Archaeological District as an alternative method of compliance with 14-3.13(C). (Lisa Roach)
 - 2) Case #AR-17-14. Ron Winters, agent for JFAM, LLC, requests approval of reconnaissance for 2.26 acres at 2650 Sawmill Road in the River and Trails Archaeological Review District and in the Suburban Archaeological Review District as complying with 14-3.13(C). (Lisa Roach)
- F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND COMMUNICATIONS
- G. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE
- H. ADJOURNMENT

Persons with disabilities in need of accommodations, contact the City Clerk's office at 955-6520 five (5) working days prior to date.

SUMMARY INDEX
ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE
August 21, 2014

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
CALL TO ORDER & ROLL CALL	Quorum	1
APPROVAL OF AGENDA	Approved	1
APPROVAL OF MINUTES – AUGUST 7, 2014	Deferred to 09/04/14	1
<u>ACTION ITEMS</u>		
<u>CASE #AR-16-14.</u> AT THE REQUEST OF CENTURYLINK, RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR CITY OF SANTA FE, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING OF A 2 FEET WIDE BY 4 FEET DEEP TRENCH RUNNING 739 FEET IN LENGTH ON CATRON STREET BETWEEN GUADALUPE AND GRIFFIN STREETS IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL DISTRICT AS AN ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF COMPLIANCE WITH 14-3.13.	Approved with corrections	1-4
<u>CASE #AR-17-14.</u> RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR JFAM, LLC, REQUESTS APPROVAL OF RECONNAISSANCE FOR 2.26 ACRES AT 2650 SAWMILL ROAD IN THE RIVER AND TRAILS ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AND IN THE SUBURBAN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH 14-3.13	Approved with corrections	4-8
ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND COMMUNICATIONS	Information/discussion	8-13
MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE	None	13
ADJOURNMENT		13

**MINUTES OF THE
CITY OF SANTA FE
ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE HEARING
City Councilors Conference Room
August 21, 2014**

A. CALL TO ORDER

The Archaeological Review Committee Hearing was called to order by David Eck, Chair, at approximately 4:40 p.m., on August 21, 2014, in the City Councilors Conference Room, City Hall, Santa Fe, New Mexico. *[Stenographer's Note: The meeting was delayed because the City Councilors Conference Room was occupied by others until 4:40 p.m.]*

B. ROLL CALL

Members Present

David Eck, Chair
Tess Monahan, Vice-Chair
Gary Funkhouser
James Edward Ivey
Derek Pierce

Others Present

Matthew O'Reilly, Director, Land Use Department
Lisa Roach, Historic Preservation Division
Zachary Shandler, Assistant City Attorney
Elizabeth Martin, for Melessia Helberg, Stenographer

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items are incorporated herewith to these minutes by reference; and the original Committee packet is on file in, and may be obtained from, the Historic Preservation Division.

C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION: Derek Pierce moved, seconded by Jake Ivey, to approve the Agenda as published..

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – AUGUST 7, 2014

Approval of the minutes of August 7, 2014, is postponed to the next meeting of the Committee.

E. ACTION ITEMS

1. **CASE #AR-16-14. AT THE REQUEST OF CENTURYLINK, RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR CITY OF SANTA FE, REQUESTS APPROVAL FOR ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING OF A 2 FEET WIDE BY 4 FEET DEEP TRENCH RUNNING 739 FEET IN LENGTH ON CATRON STREET BETWEEN GUADALUPE AND GRIFFIN STREETS IN THE HISTORIC DOWNTOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL DISTRICT AS AN ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF COMPLIANCE WITH 14-3.13. (LISA ROACH)**

BACKGROUND & SUMMARY

At the request of Rochelle Abeyta of CenturyLink, the consultant conducted archaeological monitoring for the excavation of a trench along the north side of Catron Street between Guadalupe and Catron Streets in order to lay fiber optic cable. The project is located on City of Santa Fe property within the Historic Downtown Archaeological District. The trench was excavated; the trench walls and backfill were examined for evidence of cultural resources, including features and deposits. A single cultural resource was exposed and documented (LA 179704) within the trench, and is described as a buried pit feature with coal and wood fragments, blackened soil, and a few historic artifacts dating to the early to mid-twentieth century. Once the trench excavation and cultural resource documentation was complete, the cable was laid and the trench backfilled and repaved.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of the monitoring report, as it meets the intent of the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance (14-5.3) and the requirements of the Archaeological Clearance Permits (14-3.13(B)(4)(a), and serves as a sufficient alternative to complying with the requirements of performing reconnaissance (14-3.13(C)(2)(a) & (b), as determined by decision of the Archaeological Review Committee on June 19, 2014, Case No. AR-09-14.

Chair Eck said the Staff Report is in the packet, and asked Ms. Roach if she had anything to add.

Mr. Roach said she has nothing to add.

Mr. Winters said he believes the copper piece that was found possibly could have been the tip from a soldering iron. He noted that you read in the Report about the fiasco with the crew.

Tess Monahan

Ms. Monahan said she enjoyed the soap opera, commenting it was pretty amusing.

Gary Funkhouser

Mr. Funkhouser said, on Page 42, Paragraph 3, line 3, Mr. Winters says, "The site (Site LA179704) was not found to be eligible..." He said Mr. Winters said that for that part of the site, but he isn't comfortable in saying that for the unknown part of the site. That part that has been trenched is not eligible, but what remains hasn't been determined.

Mr. Funkhouser said on page 61, the first page of the Site Record, in the descriptive analysis, he prefers the cut and paste approach because there is a paper trail. He said it is good to have that information on the Site Record. He said some explanation should be added in the recommendations part *[inaudible]*.

Derek Pierce.

Mr. Pierce said he seconds what Funkhouser said about the unknown part, because it could be just the tip of the iceberg.

Mr. Pierce said on Page 36, Paragraph 1, line 11, the report says, "The pit measured 95" long and 48" deep." However, on page 39 you describe the same feature as 4 feet x 2 feet." He said these numbers don't jive and there is a discrepancy there..

Mr. Winters said Mr. Pierce is correct. He said the field notes "compared to actual is what those numbers are." He will check this and make the appropriate correction.

Jake Ivey

[Mr. Ivey's remarks here are completely inaudible]

Mr. Ivey asked if there was supposed to be an abstract in the report, and Mr. Winters said yes.

Mr. Ivey said it is not in on the table of contents, and Mr. Winters said he will add that.

Mr. Ivey said on packet page 14, Paragraph 2, you have "LA2, LA2," and it should be "LA1 and LA2."

Mr. Ivey said on packet page 40, Paragraph 2 under Feature and Artifact Analysis, line 4, it says "Feature 18," and it should be "Figure 18."

Chair Eck

Chair Eck said on line 1, packet page 32, Paragraph 2, he says "The Kelly Cable," and asked if that should be "The Kelly Cable of New Mexico, Inc."

Mr. Winters said it should be "The Kelly Cable of New Mexico, Inc," and he will correct that.

Chair Eck said on packet page 40, Paragraph 2, line 6, under Feature and Artifact Analysis, Mr. Winters says "The artifacts date from the early to mid-twentieth century." He would like Mr. Winters to provide references to relate the artifact description to that time period.

Mr. Winters said he would do so.

Chair Eck said he also agrees with the eligibility [*inaudible*]. He asked on packet page 63, under Location, if Mr. Winters is saying it is in the south half of the northeast quarter.

Mr. Winters said yes.

Chair Eck thanked Mr. Winters for the profiles, which were nicely done, easy to read and wonderful, but as Mr. Winters knows, the photographs never are clear.

MOTION: Derek Pierce moved, seconded by Gary Funkhouser, with respect to Case #AR-16-14, to approve the request of CenturyLink, Ron Winters, agent for the City of Santa Fe, for approval of archaeological monitoring of a 2 feet wide by 4 feet deep trench, running 739 feet in length, on Catron Street between Guadalupe and Griffin Streets, in the Historic Downtown Archaeological District as an alternative method of compliance with 14-3.13(C), with the stipulated corrections, and to forward a copy of the report and notice of this approval to the New Mexico Historic Preservation Division, as per NMAC 4.10.17.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote

2. **CASE #AR-17-14. RON WINTERS, AGENT FOR JFAM, LLC, REQUESTS APPROVAL OF RECONNAISSANCE FOR 2.26 ACRES AT 2650 SAWMILL ROAD IN THE RIVER AND TRAILS ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AND IN THE SUBURBAN ARCHAEOLOGICAL REVIEW DISTRICT AS COMPLYING WITH 14-3.13. (LISA ROACH)**

BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY:

The consultant performed archaeological reconnaissance at 2650 Sawmill Road at the request of JFAM, LLC, owner of the property, whose boundaries span both the River and Trails Archaeological Review District and the Suburban Archaeological Review District. Archival research revealed no previously recorded cultural resources in the project area, and archaeological survey discovered one previously

unrecorded historic trash scatter dating between 1880 and 1920 (LA 179721) and one isolated occurrence. The consultant indicated that neither the site nor the isolated occurrence hold the potential to yield additional information about Santa Fe area history, but recommends that if additional sites are encountered during the course of land improvements, they should be protected and reported to the City of Santa Fe.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of the reconnaissance report, as it meets the intent of the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance (14-5.3), the requirements of Archaeological Clearance Permits (14-3.13(B)(2)(a), and the requirements of performing reconnaissance (14-3.13(C)(2)(a) and (b).

Chair Eck said the staff report is in the packet, and asked Ms. Roach if she has anything to add.

Mr. Roach said she had nothing to add, commenting that we don't know what improvements are proposed for the site.

Mr. Winters said he does not know either.

Mr. Winters said it is nice to find some undisturbed land, since most of the ground had been completely disturbed, although he does think there is a secondary deposit. He said there was a little berm where all the artifacts were found and it has been there for a long time. He said most of the garage is gone. *[The balance of Mr. Winters' remarks here are inaudible because of background noise]*

Tess Monahan

Ms. Monahan said she has no comments or questions.

Gary Funkhouser

Mr. Funkhouser noted on the first page of the NIAF, #7 Description of Undertaking, that refers in general to what your client is doing, their project, not your activities.

Mr. Funkhouser said in Item #7 of the Site Record, Mr. Winters talks about no subsurface deposit present, however, on the preceding page, under Observation of Site Condition, it says *[inaudible]* which contradicts the previous statement and implies some subsurface integrity.

Mr. Winters said he will make that correction so it is consistent.

Derek Pierce

Mr. Pierce said he has no comments, other than he found the glass stopper intriguing, and asked Mr. Winters if he has a better photograph.

Mr. Winters said he had never seen one like this, and it probably was medicinal.

Chair Eck asked if it could be non-medicinal, and have more to do with a holy water container.

Mr. Winters said he thought about that, because there was a cross "like a red cross," but that's a possibility, noting it has a small opening, reiterating, "I have never come across anything like that."

Jake Ivey

Mr. Ivey said on packet page 14, Paragraph 2, you have "LA2, LA2," and it should be "LA1 and LA2."

Mr. Winters said he will make that correction.

Mr. Ivey said on packet page 28, under Situations, the last sentence says, "The site lies on an elevated low rise that appears to have been created by past dumping." He asked if he is saying the site was created by past dumping or the rise was created by the past dumping.

Mr. Winters said both.

Mr. Ivey said he doesn't say both.

Mr. Winters said he got that impression because there are chunks of concrete.

Mr. Ivey said that might reflect on how completely you have exhausted the site, if the entire ridge was created artificially.

Mr. Winters said he looked along the sides, and he did not see any imbedded material. He said it looked like stuff that has washed down.

Responding to Mr. Ivey,

Mr. Winters said he said the mound looks artificial, and based on the big chunks of concrete, it looks like it was dumped. He said as he said in the profile in the cross section, along the edges he did not find buried material indicating that it had depth. But it looked like it was on the top and then some of it had eroded down the slope.

Chair Eck

Chair Eck said on packet page 7, Paragraph 1, line 4, the abbreviation NMPM for New Mexico Prime Meridian seems to be out of place, and should appear with the Township, Range and Section description as opposed to the County mention.

Mr. Winters said he would make that correction.

Chair Eck said on packet page 7, Paragraph 2, line 1, he would suggest he put a comma after "scatter." He is unsure of the intent of the sentence, and said he can fix it if it doesn't read right to him.

Mr. Winters said he would do so.

Chair Eck said on packet page 52, Item 6 of the Site Form, "back to the location discussion where you specified Township, Range and Section, your quarter sections read backward, given the normal pattern and the way you did it for the other site. It is in the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter. So it should be reversed.

Mr. Winters said he would make that correction.

Chair Eck said back to the question of "presence/absence of subsurface deposits, creation of this ridge by dumping or not, is the site important/eligible or capable or rendering more information or not. Committee members do you think it can be construed as not eligible based on what we see, or does it need a different status."

Mr. Ivey said, "It sounds to me from the description was what he found was a scatter on the surface of a human created mound, therefore he probably did exhaust everything in that site. If the site has depth then it would not be exhausted, but apparently it does not. So, therefore, that sounds like a reasonable statement."

Mr. Funkhouser said he is still somewhat uncertain, but he isn't going to question it.

Ms. Monahan said she see no reasons to make any adjustment in the text now.

Mr. Pierce said, "I am inclined to agree. You said what you could see of the mound, was this the concrete, right, the construction waste."

Mr. Winters said yes.

Mr. Funkhouser said Mr. Winters should make that clear in the report.

Chair Eck said a little embellishment will go along way to clarifying the situation.

Mr. Pierce said, even if the material is as old as the artifacts on top of it, it's probably not incident to and is unlikely to be stratified.

Mr. Ivey said there is one little annoying aspect. The artifacts scatter appears 1880 to 1920, and that, in itself, sounds like it wouldn't be the kind of thing you would find in a scatter on top of the mound of building concrete.

Mr. Winters said the concrete was just at the extreme north end, and not throughout.

Mr. Ivey said perhaps he needs to take out the little bit about the ridge may be entirely human created.

Chair Eck said, "A scenario and artifacts of that date end up on top of something that would be arguably much younger, it would relate to the other structures and previous use of the property and somebody cleaning out *[inaudible]*."

MOTION: Derek Pierce moved, seconded by Tess Monahan, with respect to Case #AR-17-14, to approve the request for approval of reconnaissance for 2.26 acres at 2650 Sawmill Road in the River and Archaeological Review District and the Suburban Archaeological Review District, requested by Ron Winters, Agent for JFAM, LLC, with the recommended changes, finding it meets the intent of the City of Santa Fe Archaeological Review District Ordinance 14-3.13(C).

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote

Tess Monahan departed the meeting

There was a short break at this time

F. ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS AND COMMUNICATIONS

A Memorandum dated February 21, 2013, to Approved Archaeological Consultants, from David Eck, Archaeological Review Committee Chair, regarding La Tierra Trails Archaeological Compliance Procedures, is incorporated herewith to these minutes as Exhibit "1."

Mr. Ivey asked the meeting schedule for September.

Chair Eck said the meetings are September 4 and 18, 2014.

Mr. Ivey said he will not be able to attend the meeting on September 18, 2014.

Chair Eck said Mr. Pierce will be absent on September 18th, so we still will have a quorum.

Mr. O'Reilly said the question was raised as to how the City staff looks at sites which might be split between 2 districts. In the past, we have always applied the more restrictive district. He said an alternative to that would be is that there is a general rule in the municipal code that states that zoning district boundaries actually have to follow property lines. To the extent that overlay districts with the archaeological review district is a zoning overlay, may not exactly follow a property line. *[inaudible here because of noise overlay]*. If it is close to a property line, *[inaudible]*, I will apply the more strict of the district standards. When the site is bisected by a line, and it is not easy to tell where it should have been, and staff will take the conservative approach.

Mr. O'Reilly said regarding item F, "I think Lisa had an administrative matter, but I have one as well. We've been discussing for a while a new Archaeological Ordinance. The Historic Preservation Division is now fully staffed. We are lucky to have Lisa with us now. We are in a position now where we can start working on the Archaeological Ordinance. You probably recall this Committee prepared a draft of that Ordinance some years ago, but it was never adopted. If the committee has the interest or appetite for revising that work, what staff would propose to do, would be to take the work done by previous Archaeological Review Committees, which unfortunately was done using entirely different numbers of the Municipal Code, and renumber it so it matches the current Code, and then provide it to you all for comment. And that could take the form of a couple meetings worth of comment, or it could take the form of the Archaeological Committee. You also could form a subcommittee to work on it. It could be done in any of those ways."

Mr. O'Reilly continued, "The Land Use Department rewrote the Land Use Code in 2012, and then brought forward minor technical corrections since that time. When the major part of the Land Development Code was rewritten, the Planning Commission was responsible for it. Set up a subcommittee and worked on it, because it was 500 pages. Then spent a year's work on it. Obviously that won't be required of the Archaeological Ordinance, because it is much shorter than that. If it is all right with you Mr. Chair, I would like to get a sense of the Archaeological Committee as to whether they would like to pursue something like that, now that we have staff that can help with that. How does the Committee feel about working on the Ordinance at this time."

Chair Eck said that is great, commenting everyone knows how he feels about it.

Mr. Ivey asked Mr. O'Reilly if he would promise it won't be a 500 page thing.

Mr. O'Reilly said, "We wouldn't change necessarily the structure, unless this Committee felt that we need to completely restructure it. I wouldn't see it becoming longer substantially, or shorter substantially than it is now. I think we'd just like to codify the comments that were made by previous Committees and obviously, the current Committee, as to what they think should or shouldn't change. Everything would be, quote, on the table. I would hope it wouldn't get longer."

Mr. Ivey said, "Yes. Definitely yes, to work on it."

Mr. Pierce said, "I think we should do it, yes."

Mr. Funkhouser said yes.

Chair Eck said it is a resounding yes, we think it's time. He said, "The documents you referred to are the most recent mis-numbered ones. Is there previous supporting material that would go with it and explain how that came about."

Mr. O'Reilly said, "In theory yes. The changes I would propose, we definitely have those. Whether there are a lot of explanatory notes as to why the previous Committee wanted that changed or not, that I don't know. Oftentimes when these things are done in such a small subcommittee, people have been talking and staff is diligently writing down what people want to change, and it may not have been documented or there may not be perfect minutes as to why someone wanted to change that. It has been at 5 years or more since that was done. *[inaudible]*"

Mr. O'Reilly continued, "One of the things we would like to see happen, is, over the years the Committee has adopted what is called external policies. And that is really not the best way to craft a municipal code, and we would like to see those policies if the Committee agrees with them to be codified."

Chair Eck said he thinks this Committee would agree to that.

Mr. Winters asked if the monitoring plan would this fall under this Code, and if there would be a streamlining of that process.

Mr. O'Reilly said, "Generally, the answer is yes, but it is a two part yes. The first yes is that we would like to see that become codified, but until it becomes codified, under administrative matters we might be able to create, at least temporarily, a policy to deal with that until we get the Code rewritten. Because there probably is going to be more of this."

Ms. Roach asked if he is specifically referring to the utility line monitoring, and Mr. O'Reilly said yes.

Ms. Roach said, "It does appear to me, as my first impression, is that there needs to be an acceptable standard procedure for utility line monitoring so applicants don't have before you with a proposal of an alternative means of compliance."

Mr. O'Reilly said, "We wanted to gauge the Committee's appetite for some sort of temporary, external policy, until such time as a policy can be written, or not. And that would also include eventually the kinds of things this Committee has done in the past, for example with the La Tierra Trails Project where we had 1500 acres of land out there, but they were doing work only along a narrow ribbon of the Trail. I would hope that we would be able to write into the Code procedures for those kinds of instances, because they are likely to come up. I'm not asking for Committee to decide today. I just wanted to throw that out there so hopefully staff *[inaudible]*."

Mr. Pierce said he wasn't here for the previous discussion, so he thinks the first step is to renumber what you have, get it to us, so we can look at that and catch up.

Chair Eck said we all need to catch up because we weren't "part and parcel" of that. He asked Mr. Ivey if he was involved in that.

Mr. Ivey said he was involved in nothing that was official. He said we kept working on coming up with what sounded like reasonable ways of doing things and pushing that.

Mr. O'Reilly said he is unsure the previous draft was completed.

Mr. Ivey said he is saying we spent a lot of time tearing apart what we could see, and proposing better ways of doing it, but we weren't getting offers of legal help.

Mr. O'Reilly said this was done was when Janet McVickar and Steven Post were on the Committee.

Mr. O'Reilly said we could start with what we have and not even renumber it, so we should show you where people were on it, and then decide how to proceed from there.

Mr. Funkhouser said he is in agreement to do whatever works best for everyone.

Chair Eck said he would defer to staff if reading this with the current numbering system makes any sense, and wouldn't confuse any and all future discussions then we could live with seeing it now while it is being renumbered. Or, if you think it would be too confusing, then fix the numbers first.

Mr. O'Reilly said staff will come up with something that will make it workable for the Committee to understand what you are reviewing. He said we may be able to take the existing Ordinance and amend it, or in some cases, we might have to adopt an entirely new Ordinance. He said staff will start working on this and will bring this to you at a subsequent meeting.

Chair Eck thanked Mr. O'Reilly, and thanked Legal Counsel in advance in applying his experience to things like writing this Ordinance.

Mr. Shandler said he has a little experience he can draw upon.

Ms. Roach said the City is planning to build a new trail segment in La Tierra Trails. She said in looking for policy with regard to archaeological clearance, she came across a draft Memorandum signed by Chair Eck. She said to formalize it, she put it into Memorandum Format, as an external policy kind of thing. Ms. Eck provided copies of the Memo for the Board [Exhibit "1"]. She said it seems something the Committee acted upon on February 21, 2013. She said in an effort to provide proper guidance to the archeologist hired to do the work for this new trail segment, she hopes this previous Memorandum could be formalized.

Ms. Roach reviewed the contents of the Memorandum with the Committee. Please see Exhibit "1" for specifics of this Memorandum.

Chair Eck said we really were looking at linear things and areal things. He said for instance, we had a parking lot, and for that, 25 feet. And for linear we set a minimum of 50 feet, because there was no other way to ensure that the true area of effect would be included in the survey.

Chair Eck said where the details will "eat us up," is what constitutes linear versus area. For something 400 ft. wide and 2 miles long, could be considered as linear and something 400 ft. square would be construed as an area. So it is okay as long as we have a minimum of 50 feet. *[inaudible]*

Chair Eck said, "The whole thing has been inspected at a grand and loose level already. We are looking at meeting modern standards."

Mr. Eck said asked if we need to have this memo on next agenda as an action item to adopt.

Ms. Roach said yes, unless it was done at a previous meeting. She said, "And does it need to be, I guess, is another question. Or it is a working kind of policy."

Mr. Funkhouser said if it is specific to La Tierra trails it should be okay.

Chair Eck said then it can only be a working document for La Tierra, and La Tierra only.

Ms. Roach said that seems great to her.

Mr. Ivey asked, "If we come up against another area thing like the La Tierra Trails thing, do we have the flexibility to change this draft and adopt it, and we are not stuck with this."

Mr. Shandler said this is correct.

Mr. Ivey said, "So it's an in-house agreement that we can transform to fit other circumstances as needed."

Chair Eck said, "I think so, and I see this an alternate means of compliance for La Tierra."

Mr. Ivey said at the time this was a huge potential problem

[Mr. Funkhouser's remarks here are inaudible]

Mr. Pierce asked, "If the City purchased a large parcel somewhere, could we transfer this over, because it is specific to La Tierra only."

Mr. Ivey said if we agree to it on this case, we are assuming you are agreeing to it in all cases.

Chair Eck said, "The answer is always no. The case always depends on where it is, what it is, what you are doing, and how you're doing it."

Mr. Funkhouser said is unique to this project.

Chair Eck said if this had not been inspected previously this approach might not have worked.

Ms. Roach said she has nothing further to bring before the Committee.

G. MATTERS FROM THE COMMITTEE

There were no matters from the Committee.

H. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION: Jake Ivey moved, seconded by Derek Pierce, to adjourn the meeting.

VOTE: The motion was approved unanimously on a voice vote, and the Committee was adjourned at 5:25 p.m.


David Eck, Chair
Melessia Helberg, Stenographer

City of Santa Fe, New Mexico

memo

DATE: February 21, 2013

TO: Approved Archaeological Consultants

FROM: David Eck, Archaeological Review Committee Chair

RE: La Tierra Trails Archaeological Compliance Procedures

Please be advised that archaeological review of all projects falling under the La Tierra Trails Master Plan shall be guided by the "Comprehensive Archaeological and Cultural Resources Background Study for the La Tierra Trails (LTT) Open Space Area, City of Santa Fe, New Mexico" (Raymond 2012), a document approved by the Archaeological Review Committee at the February 21, 2013 hearing under Case #AR-20-12.

All such reviews shall be further informed by the findings of "The Cultural Resources Survey of the City of Santa Fe's Northwest Quadrant, Santa Fe County, New Mexico" (Huntley, et al. 2009) and "An Archaeological Survey for the Community Development Program, Santa Fe, New Mexico" (Wiseman 1978).

All archaeological subcontractors working on projects within the LTT shall be provided with copies of the LTT background study document upon request and at the expense of the Public Works Department of the City of Santa Fe (City) prior to their authorization to perform any work in the LTT. Copies of the other two documents shall be made available upon request through the normal procedures of the City's Land Use Department, Historic Preservation Division.

Further, it shall be required that all archaeological work conducted within the LTT shall conform to the requirements for work under a state permit, as set forth in state regulations (4.10.8, 4.10.15, 4.10.16 and 4.10.17 NMAC).

Finally, all archaeological work conducted within the LTT shall meet the following minimum standards for are to be covered during fieldwork:

- 1) Linear surveys shall include a corridor measuring a minimum of 50 feet (15 meters) in width; and
- 2) Area surveys shall include a minimum buffer zone of 25 feet (8 meters) around the limits of the designed project.

Reports of work submitted to the Archaeological Review Committee (ARC) that fail to meet these specifications shall not be accepted. The ARC may consider requests to vary from these specifications on a case-by-case basis, but shall do so only in advance of authorizations for any work that is to be conducted.

Exhibit "1"