

# Agenda

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

1/21/15 IIMF 10:10am

Lie Koall

Flicialarty

#### HISTORIC DISTRICTS REVIEW BOARD FIELD TRIP

TUESDAY, January 27, 2015 at 12:00 NOON HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION, 2<sup>nd</sup> FLOOR CITY HALL HISTORIC DISTRICTS REVIEW BOARD HEARING

TUESDAY, January 27, 2015 at 5:30 P.M.

#### CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

\*\*\*AMENDED\*\*\*

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: January 13, 2014
- E. FINDINGS OF FACT & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Case #H-14-046. 712 Gildersleeve Street.

Case #H-14-099. 135 Camino Escondido.

Case #H-09-012. 526 Galisteo Street.

Case #H-14-104. 655 Garcia Street.

Case #H-15-002. 586 1/2 Camino del Monte Sol.

Case #H-15-004B. 609 Miller Street.

Case #H-15-009. 511 East Palace Avenue.

Case #H-14-106. 625 Camino de la Luz.

Case #H-08-047. 438 Apodaca Hill.

Case #H-14-102. 845 A Don Cubero Avenue.

Case #H-14-098. 410 Camino Don Miguel.

Case #H-15-001. 1260 Canyon Road.

Case #H-15-004A. 609 Miller Street.

Case #H-15-007. 319 Sanchez Street.

Case #H-15-005. 209 1/2 Delgado Street.

#### F. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

#### G. ACTION ITEMS

- 1) Case #H-13-020. 523 Canyon Road. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Doug McDowell, agent/owner proposes to construct a 5' high vehicle gate at the property entry to one non-contributing and two new residences. (David Rasch).
- 2) Case #H-15-007. 319 Sanchez Street. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Scott Wong, agent for Chaparral LLC, owners, proposes to raise parapets to a height of 14" where the maximum allowable height is 16'10", to construct two additions totaling 517 square feet, to construct portals and ramadas and a 6' high yard wall, and to replace windows on a non-contributing property. (Lisa Roach).
- 3) <u>Case #H-15-011</u>. 820 Camino Atalaya. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Lorn Tryk, agent for John and Barbara Clum, owners, requests an historic status review of a contributing structure. (Lisa Roach).
- 4) Case #H-15-013. 1041 Camino San Acacio. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Pablo Trujillo, agent for Rudy Gallegos, owner, requests an historic status review of a non-statused residence and work shop. (Lisa Roach).
- H. COMMUNICATIONS
- I. MATTERS FROM THE BOARD
- J. ADJOURNMENT

Cases on this agenda may be postponed to a later date by the Historic Districts Review Board at the noticed meeting. Please contact the Historic Preservation Division at 955-6605 for more information regarding cases on this agenda.



#### HISTORIC DISTRICTS REVIEW BOARD FIELD TRIP

TUESDAY, January 27, 2015 at 12:00 NOON

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION DIVISION, 2nd FLOOR CITY HALL

#### HISTORIC DISTRICTS REVIEW BOARD HEARING

TUESDAY, January 27, 2015 at 5:30 P.M.

#### CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS

- A. CALL TO ORDER
- B. ROLL CALL
- C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: January 13, 2014
- E. FINDINGS OF FACT & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

<u>Case #H-14-046.</u> 712 Gildersleeve Street.
<u>Case #H-08-047.</u> 438 Apodaca Hill.
<u>Case #H-14-102.</u> 845 A Don Cubero Avenue.
<u>Case #H-14-098.</u> 410 Camino Don Miguel.
<u>Case #H-15-001.</u> 1260 Canyon Road.
<u>Case #H-15-004A.</u> 609 Miller Street.
<u>Case #H-15-007.</u> 319 Sanchez Street.
<u>Case #H-15-009.</u> 511 East Palace Avenue.
<u>Case H-15-006.</u> 211 & 211 ½ Delgado Street.

Case #H-09-012. 526 Galisteo Street.
Case #H-14-104. 655 Garcia Street.
Case #H-15-002. 586 ½ Camino del Monte Sol.
Case #H-15-004B. 609 Miller Street.
Case #H-15-008. 244 Casados Street Unit 2.
Case #H-15-005. 209 ½ Delgado Street.

Case #H-14-106. 625 Camino de la Luz.

Case #H-13-072. 123 East Buena Vista Street.

Case #H-14-099. 135 Camino Escondido.

F. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Case #H-15-003. 424 Apodaca Hill.

## G. ACTION ITEMS

- 1) Case #H-13-020. 523 Canyon Road. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Doug McDowell, agent/owner proposes to construct a 5' high vehicle gate at the property entry to one non-contributing and two new residences. (David Rasch).
- 2) Case #H-15-007. 319 Sanchez Street. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Scott Wong, agent for Chaparral LLC, owners, proposes to raise parapets to a height of 13'6" where the maximum allowable height is 16'10", to construct two additions totaling 415 square feet, to construct new 5' to 6' high yard walls, and to replace windows on a non-contributing residence. (Lisa Roach).
- 3) Case #H-13-064. 127 Quintana Street. Westside-Guadalupe Historic District. Terry Ives, owner, proposes to raise the parapet of the former garage to a height of 12'4" where the maximum allowable height is 13'9", to replace non-historic windows and door on the former garage, to construct new 6' yard walls, and to construct a new attached carport on a non-contributing structure. (Lisa Roach).
- 4) Case #H-11-105. 237 and 239 East DeVargas. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Duty and Germanas Architects, agent for El Castillo Retirement Residence, owners, proposes location options for installing roof-mounted mechanical equipment on a contributing structure. (David Rasch).

- 5) Case #H-15-011. 820 Camino Atalaya. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Lorn Tryk, agent for John and Barbara Clum, owners, requests an historic status review of a contributing structure. (Lisa Roach).
- 6) Case #H-15-013. 1041 Camino San Acacio. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Pablo Trujillo, agent for Rudy Gallegos, owner, requests an historic status review of a non-statused residence and work shop. (Lisa Roach).
- H. COMMUNICATIONS
- I. MATTERS FROM THE BOARD
- J. ADJOURNMENT

Cases on this agenda may be postponed to a later date by the Historic Districts Review Board at the noticed meeting. Please contact the Historic Preservation Division at 955-6605 for more information regarding cases on this agenda.

## **SUMMARY INDEX** HISTORIC DISTRICTS REVIEW BOARD January 27, 2015

	<u>ITEM</u>	ACTION TAKEN	PAGE(S)
B.	Roll Call	Quorum Present	1
C.	Approval of Agenda	Approved as presented	2
D.	Approval of Minutes January 13, 2015	Approved as amended	2-3
E.	Findings of Fact & Conclusions of Law	Approved as amended	3
F.	Business from the Floor	John Dressman Comment	3-5
G.	Action Items  1. Case H-13-020. 523 Canyon Road	Approved as recommended	5-6
	2. <u>Case #H-15-007</u> . 319 Sanchez Street	Approved with conditions	6-9
	3. <u>Case #H-15-011</u> 820 Camino Atalaya	Downgraded to non-contributing	9-11
	4. <u>Case #H-15-013</u> 1041 Camino San Acacio	Designated non-contributing	11-13
Н.	Communications	Discussion	13
l.	Matters from the Board	None	13
J.	Adjournment	Adjourned at 6:10 p.m.	13

#### MINUTES OF THE

## CITY OF SANTA FÉ

#### HISTORIC DISTRICTS REVIEW BOARD

## January 27, 2015

## A. CALL TO ORDER

A regular meeting of the City of Santa Fé Historic Districts Review Board was called to order by Chair Sharon Woods on the above date at approximately 5:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers at City Hall, Santa Fé, New Mexico.

#### **B. ROLL CALL**

Roll Call indicated the presence of a quorum as follows:

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT:**

Ms. Sharon Woods, Chair

Ms. Cecilia Rios, Vice Chair

Mr. Edmund Boniface

Mr. Frank Katz

Ms. Christine Mather

Mr. William Powell

#### **MEMBERS EXCUSED:**

Mr. Bonifacio Armijo

#### **OTHERS PRESENT:**

Mr. David Rasch, Historic Planner Supervisor

Mr. Zach Shandler, Asst. City Attorney

Ms. Lisa Roach, Senior Historic Planner

Mr. Carl Boaz, Stenographer

NOTE: All items in the Committee packet for all agenda items are incorporated herewith by reference. The original Committee packet is on file in the Historic Planning Department.

#### C. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Ms. Rios moved to approve the agenda as published. Ms. Mather seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

## D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: January 13, 2014

Mr. Katz requested the following changes to the minutes:

On page 14, 2/3 down the page, should say, "Mr. Katz was puzzled by the skylights since it <u>now</u> has a flat roof <u>without parapet</u>."

On page 41 at the top of the page in the Action of the Board, the reason for downgrade was also because it was not old enough.

Ms. Rios requested the following changes to the minutes:

On page 11, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph, it should say, "Vice Chair Rios stated that she would never have voted to downgrade the house located at 135 Camino Escondido. Though she was not present at the Historic Status review hearing, she is familiar with the house. And though the house may be non-conforming, that should not merit its downgrade. In Santa Fe there are non-conforming buildings that are historically designated, such as the Cathedral and the Masonic Temple. She would classify this house as combination vernacular and Territorial without brick coping. She was sorry it was downgraded because such buildings tell the story of their time and place in Santa Fe which is very important and worthy of preservation."

On page 16, under Action of the Board, it should say, "Vice Chair Rios said 'Escondido' meant hidden rather than quiet as stated in a report in our packet."

On page 19, under Applicant's Presentation, it should say, "Vice Chair Rios asked if he was also using new windows."

On page 20, the first sentence doesn't make sense. It should say, "Vice Chair Rios asked if the portion of the French windows would be back from the street front."

On page 38, first sentence under Questions to Staff should say, "Vice Chair Rios stated that simply because a façade is not publicly visible, that should not be a factor in determining a historic designation. She said a building could be significant and not be publicly visible."

On page 34, it should say, "Vice Chair Rios said why #3 was questionable."

On page 37, it should say, "Vice Chair Rios asked Mr. Hoopes to please thank his clients for being

historically conscientious."

Mr. Boniface requested the following changes to the minutes:

On page 15, paragraph 7, last sentence, the word should be "weep screeds."

On page 46 the applicant's name should be spelled "Naktin."

Ms. Mather requested the following changes to the minutes:

On page 3, first paragraph should say- March 27th.

On page 13 in the middle, it should say, "The family stepped forward to share all the problems that the overhanging <u>roof</u> caused." - not the portal.

On page 35 under Action of the Board, it should say, "Ms. Mather moved in Case #H-15-004A to accept staff's recommendation to upgrade the subject property to contributing, including the main house and yard walls ... including contributing yard walls. (Not wonderful yard walls).

Mr. Boniface moved to approve the minutes of January 13, 2015 as amended. Ms. Rios seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

## E. FINDINGS OF FACT & CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

<u>Case #H-14-046</u>. 712 Gildersleeve Street.

<u>Case #H-14-099</u>. 135 Camino Escondido.

<u>Case #H-09-012</u>. 526 Galisteo Street.

<u>Case #H-14-104</u>. 655 Garcia Street.

<u>Case #H-15-002</u>. 586 ½ Camino del Monte Sol.

<u>Case #H-15-004B</u>. 609 Miller Street.

<u>Case #H-15-009</u>. 511 East Palace Avenue.

Case #H-14-106, 625 Camino de la Luz.

<u>Case #H-08-047</u>. 438 Apodaca Hill. <u>Case #H-14-102</u>. 845 A Don Cubero Avenue. <u>Case #H-14-098</u>. 410 Camino Don Miguel. <u>Case #H-15-001</u>. 1260 Canyon Road. <u>Case #H-15-004A</u>. 609 Miller Street. <u>Case #H-15-007</u>. 319 Sanchez Street. <u>Case #H-15-005</u>. 209 ½ Delgado Street.

Mr. Shandler said he needed to amend the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law for the Miller Street property to change from wonderful to contributing yard wall and also for on 319 Sanchez to add that the property is not old enough to be contributing.

Mr. Boniface moved to approve the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law as amended by Mr. Shandler's statements. Mr. Katz seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

#### F. BUSINESS FROM THE FLOOR

Mr. John Dressman made a statement about what happened over five years ago. In 2009, the Parks Bond was acted upon and what he referred to downtown as "the electric monolith" was put up and the audit on that bond issue is finally happening this year. "When that happened, we wrote a note to the City Councilors and to the City Manager and I just wanted to read that into your records so you would have what our Downtown Merchants' Association are thinking."

He read it to the Board and is attached to these minutes as Exhibit 1. "Dear Mr. Snyder, We noticed that the 2008 Parks Bond audit completion has been put off until March. The Concern We Have Is with the Plaza Park part of that bond. As most of you know we have been expressing our concerns since the election of the electric monolith in 2009. The bond issue to the voters clearly stated that the funds for the Plaza were to be spent on "new hard services, new irrigation systems, LOWER UTILITY BOXXES TO GRADE." We asked the Mayor and Counsel in several different ways how the written intent of the bond issue was subverted. No one came up with an answer. Mayor Coss offered some excuses but no documents for facts were forthcoming. We are asking you now, in 2015, if this very delayed audit will provide any answers. We have attached two documents to represent some of the communication from back then. Sincerely, John Dressman, Santa Fe Downtown Merchants Inc. PO Box 10243, Santa Fe, M 87504."

Mr. Dressman said the City Manager wrote back, "I am unaware that the electric boxes were part of the Park Bond Audit scope of work. I understand when the relocation of the electric boxes was evaluated it was determined by Council to be cost prohibitive."

The Association wrote back, "Will the audit not follow the payments for the work done on the Plaza? Was there enough money left in the bonding after the rest of the projects were complete to fix the monolith mistake or was that money spent on other things? This is a very complex issue and I would assume that the audit would pin point costs involved in creating the electric monolith and if there was enough to fix it when all the projects were complete. This is a very important issue to many of us who have worked to protect the historic importance of the Plaza and we have a hard time believing that this issue is not being addressed in the audit. We appreciate your looking into this detail. John Dressman".

He added that he didn't expect an answer from the City Manager.

Chair Woods said as she recalled, she believed the Board voted on this. The City staff came before the Board and the HDRB turned them down and she couldn't remember the City's response.. She asked if Mr. Rasch might be able to find those minutes so the Board could see them.

Mr. Dressman said at that meeting one of the members of the Board said "make it disappear." Chip Lilienthal came up with giant figures to either put it underground or move it to a different location. The Downtown Merchants' Association proposed that it could be buried under the bandstand since there was already a modern basement there and wouldn't require an archaeological assessment and it would have protection and ventilation.

Chair Woods made Mr. Dressman aware that to consider action on that matter it would have to be

noticed for the next agenda.

- Ms. Rios asked Mr. Rasch if he could find out if there was any money left.
- Mr. Rasch said he would not be able to find out because it was not in his budget.
- Mr. Katz said one way to deal with it, might be rather than to bury it to flip it on its side and put a bench over it.
- Mr. Boaz asked if he could share the information with the Audit Committee and was given permission to do so.
- Mr. Rasch reminded the board that the other option of locating it out the Plaza was to place it at the corner of Washington and Lincoln.

#### G. ACTION ITEMS

- 1. <u>Case #H-13-020</u>. 523 Canyon Road. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Doug McDowell, agent/owner proposes to construct a 5' high vehicle gate at the property entry to one non-contributing and two new residences. (David Rasch).
- Mr. Rasch gave the staff report as follows:

#### **BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:**

523 Canyon Road is a single-family residence that was constructed in the early 1960s in the Territorial Revival style. Several alterations have been performed on the structure and it is listed as non-contributing to the Downtown & Eastside Historic District.

On February 25, 2014, the HDRB approved amendments to remodeling the non-contributing residence and separation of a new residence into two new residences.

Now, the Applicant proposes to amend the approval with the installation of a 5' high vehicle gate at the property entry. The 23' wide rolling gate will be constructed with rusted steel.

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of this application which complies with Section 14-5.2(D) General Design Standards, Height Pitch Scale and Massing and (E) Downtown & Eastside Historic District.

#### **Questions to Staff**

Ms. Rios asked if the gate was a see-through design.

Mr. Rasch agreed.

## **Applicant's Presentation**

Present and sworn was Mr. Douglas McDowell, 1317 B Cerro Gordo Road, who said the gate was shown on the site plan at the last time and now he had a picture of it which he passed out to the Board. It is attached to these minutes as Exhibit 2.

## Questions to the Applicant

Ms. Mather asked about the height of the wall that thee gate will be attached to.

Mr. McDowell said it was either 5 feet four 5'6". It is a little below the wall. He added that the gate is still resting and is not done.

## **Public Comment**

There were no speakers from the public regarding this case.

#### Action of the Board

Ms. Rios moved in Case #H-13-020 at 523 Canyon Road to approve per staff recommendations. Ms. Mather seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

2. <u>Case #H-15-007</u>. 319 Sanchez Street. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Scott Wong, agent for Chaparral LLC, owners, proposes to raise parapets to a height of 14' where the maximum allowable height is 16'10", to construct two additions totaling 517 square feet, to construct portals and ramadas and a 6' high yard wall, and to replace windows on a non-contributing property. (Lisa Roach).

Ms. Roach gave the staff report as follows:

#### **BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:**

319 Sanchez Street is a 1,025 square foot single-story residence constructed in the Spanish Pueblo

Revival style in 1985. On January 13, 2015, the Historic Districts Review Board downgraded the historic status of the structure from "contributing" to "non-contributing" to the Downtown and Eastside Historic District.

Now, the applicant requests to remodel the property as follows:

- 1) Construct an approximately 92 square foot addition and 127 square foot portal at the front (south) entry of the home. The new front entry will feature a pair of 4-lite casement simulated divided lite windows, and a four-lite and single-panel door, in off-white aluminum clad, and the portal will feature square-cut wood posts and beams stained a medium brown hue.
- 2) Construct an approximately 425 square foot master bedroom and bath addition on the rear (north) elevation of the home featuring 4-lite casement simulated divided lite off-white windows, a pair of 6-lite simulated divided lite off-white patio doors on the east elevation and 4-unit sliding 6-lite door assembly on the north elevation, both leading to an approximately 200 square foot L-shaped patio covered by a ramada structure constructed of square cut wood posts and beams stained a medium brown hue.
- 3) Construct an approximately 80 square foot ramada off the guest bedroom on the south elevation, featuring square-cut wood posts and beams stained in a medium brown hue, and replace the existing window with a pair of 6-lite simulated divided lite, off-white patio doors.
- 4) Construct a 6'-high stuccoed wall and wooden pedestrian gate enclosing the patio off the guest bedroom on the south elevation.
- 5) Replace all existing windows and patio doors with off-white simulated divided lite aluminum clad casement windows.
- 6) Raise the parapets of the residence to a maximum height of 14' where the maximum allowable height is 16'10", and install 6 skylights and new roof-mounted mechanical units, all to be screened by the new parapets.
- 7) Stucco both additions to match the existing home, in cementitious "Cottonwood" stucco (an exception was not requested).
- 8) Pave all new patio spaces with medium brown brick, and expand the driveway area with a gravel surface.

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of this application, which complies with Section 14-5.2 (D) General Design Standards for all Historic Districts, and (E) Downtown and Eastside Historic District, though the Board may wish to alter the stucco color to the approved El Rey "Sahara" instead of "Cottonwood."

## **Questions to Staff**

- Ms. Mather asked if the 6' yardwall was on the south elevation. Ms. Roach agreed.
- Ms. Mather referred to page 10 and asked if the wall would follow the curlicue line.
- Ms. Roach explained that the wall is a small section in front of the guest bedroom and not part of tha spiral feature.
  - Ms. Mather asked if they pedestrian gate would be added.
  - Ms. Roach agreed and explained that the gate would be just to the west.
  - Ms. Mather saw its location on page 8.
  - Ms. Roach said it was shown on page 12, sheet A-3.
  - Mr. Rasch pointed it out on the projected elevation.
  - Ms. Rios asked what the Cottonwood color is.
  - Ms. Roach said it has a slight greenish tint. Sahara is a little warmer.
  - Mr. Rasch showed the color chip for it.
- Ms. Roach said one question to ask is whether the existing residence is already stuccoed in Cottonwood.

#### Applicant's Presentation

Present and sworn was Mr. Scott Wong, 641 Garcia Street, who said he had nothing to add to the staff report and would stand for questions.

## Questions to the Applicant

- Mr. Boniface asked what the existing stucco color is.
- Mr. Wong said he didn't know what the color is. He held up a color chart and was not able to discern the color. The house he built across the street is Sahara so he was trying to do something a little bit different in color from that.

- Mr. Boniface asked if his intent is to restucco the whole house.
- Mr. Wong agreed and explained to the board that he was adding exterior insulation to the house.

Chair Woods asked the applicant why there is so much parapet above the roof on the proposal.

Mr. Wong explained that there is a really low ceiling on this house. It is 8 feet and goes down to 7 feet. Their plan was to raise it up but they haven't determined that yet.

Chair Woods pointed out on the drawing that the canales would determine the top of the roof and the rest is parapet and there is a high forehead over those windows.

Mr. Wong agreed and understood. He said they raised it a little bit to allow for taking the whole roof off and putting new beams under it with maybe a ten foot bearing.

Chair Woods asked if he really needed to raise it that high.

Mr. Wong said there is ducting involved and he wanted to leave some roof for that. Now it has only 3" of foam on it and that was inadequate.

Chair Woods said it looks like a 4' parapet and less height would be better.

Mr. Wong agreed to bring it down a little.

#### **Public Comment**

There were no speakers from the public regarding this case.

#### Action of the Board

Ms. Mather moved in Case #H-15-007 at 319 Sanchez Street to approve the proposal per staff recommendations, to approve Cottonwood as the stucco color and to recommend that the applicant lower the parapet height to 13'. Ms. Rios seconded the motion.

Mr. Rasch reminded the Board that they previously had ruled that Cottonwood was not an eligible stucco color in the historic districts.

Ms. Mather amended her motion to delete approval of the Cottonwood stucco color and insert a condition that the stucco be El Rey Sahara or the stucco color would come to staff for approval. Ms. Rios accepted the amendment as friendly and the motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

3. <u>Case #H-15-011</u>. 820 Camino Atalaya. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Lorn Tryk, agent for John and Barbara Clum, owners, requests an historic status review of a contributing structure. (Lisa Roach).

Ms. Roach gave the staff report as follows:

## **BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:**

820 Camino Atalaya is an 8,074 square foot residence listed as "contributing" to the Downtown and Eastside Historic District.

The applicant requests an historic status review of the residence. According to a new Historic Cultural Properties Inventory prepared for the home at the request of the applicant, the original 2,378 square foot home and guest house were constructed by 1928 on a large estate owned by Amelia Elizabeth White. Additions in circa 1966, 1988 and 1992 have effectively joined the main residence and guest house into a single structure, and all the exterior doors and windows have been replaced.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends downgrading the historic status of this residence from "contributing" to "non-contributing" due to substantial non-historic alterations, in compliance with Section 14-5.2 (C), Regulation of Significant and Contributing Structures in Historic Districts.

She projected a colored version of the floor plan on page 26 to help in reading the HCPI and explained the legend of colors to the Board.

#### **Questions to Staff**

Ms. Rios asked if the original 1928 structure is practically encased by remodels. Ms. Roach agreed.

#### Applicant's Presentation

Present and sworn was Mr. Lorn Tryk, 206 McKenzie, who clarified that the part in orange is the original with a discreet main house and a guest house. All the additions are nonhistoric and tied the two structures together into a single addition and skylights were put on top.

#### Questions to the Applicant

Ms. Mather concluded that the only part of the original structure that could be seen is the east portion.

- Mr. Tryk agreed, not including the portal. The portal is new but she was correct.
- Ms. Mather asked if the windows were changed out.
- Mr. Tryk said all of the windows have changed.
- Ms. Mather asked if on the inside, one would have any sense of the original.
- Mr. Tryk agreed. One could still sense those two rooms but there is a loft inside. It is unclear if that was originally one room or not.
  - Ms. Mather asked if there was a two-story portion.
  - Mr. Tryk agreed and said it was added in 1992.
  - Ms. Roach said it was shown on page 29.
  - Ms. Rios asked if when the windows were replaced, the openings were changed.
- Mr. Tryk couldn't say because he didn't have the documentation. The replacement windows were aluminum clad wood casement windows. The L-shape part has had a sun room added and those openings have been changed on the east.

#### **Public Comment**

There were no speakers from the public regarding this case.

#### Action of the Board

Mr. Katz moved in Case #H-15-011 at 820 Camino Atalaya to accept staff recommendations and downgrade the historic status to non-contributing due to the many on-historic additions that almost entirely mask the original building. Mr. Powell seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

- **Case #H-15-013. 1041 Camino San Acacio**. Downtown & Eastside Historic District. Pablo Trujillo, agent for Rudy Gallegos, owner, requests an historic status review of a non-statused residence and work shop. (Lisa Roach).
- Mr. Roach gave the staff report as follows:

## **BACKGROUND & SUMMARY:**

1041 Camino San Acacio is a single-family residence and free-standing workshop constructed in a vernacular manner in the Downtown and Eastside Historic District. The main residence is estimated to have been constructed between 1929 and 1934. In 1969, a small portal was added to the southeast corner of the home, and in 1998, all original windows were replaced. The workshop was constructed in 1972.

Historic status was never assigned to either structure, and the applicant requests an historic status review of both.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends assigning "non-contributing" status for the main residence due to substantial non-historic alterations and "non-contributing" status for the workshop due to age, in compliance with Section 14-5.2 (C), Regulation of Significant and Contributing Structures in Historic Districts.

## **Questions to Staff**

Ms. Mather noted on page 7, that the workshop encroaches on the neighboring property. She asked if it would affect the actions of the Board.

Ms. Roach didn't believe it would affect its historic status that might affect your action relating to the future of that workshop.

#### Applicant's Presentation

Present and sworn was Mr. Pablo Trujillo, 1519 Alamo Drive NE, Albuquerque 87101, who had nothing to add to the staff report.

## Questions to the Applicant

- Ms. Rios asked if new openings were created when the windows were replaced.
- Mr. Trujillo said no; they just put in new windows.
- Ms. Rios asked if the footprint of the building remains the same for all of those 86 years..
- Mr. Trujillo said a portal was added in 1969 but the footprint remains the same.

Ms. Roach noted there is a window on the east elevation around the corner from the portal that she was not sure when it was replaced. It was shown in the photo. Perhaps it was replaced in the 1969 change.

## Public Comment

There were no speakers from the public regarding this case.

## Action of the Board

Ms. Mather moved in Case #H-15-013 at 1041 Camino San Acacio to assign a non-contributing historic status to the main residence and to the workshop as recommended by staff. Mr. Boniface seconded the motion and it passed by unanimous voice vote.

#### H. COMMUNICATIONS

There were no communications.

## I. MATTERS FROM THE BOARD

There were no matters from the Board.

#### J. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

Approved by:

Sharon Woods, Chair

Submitted by:

Date: January 21, 2015 4:40:28 PM MST

To: "SNYDER, BRIAN K." <bksnyder@ci.santa-fe.nm.us>

Cc: "SIGNE I. LINDELL" <silindell@ci.santa-fe.nm.us>,
jmaestas@ci.santa-fe.nm.us, "Elizabeth M. Pettus" <tfiner@aol.com>, Robert
Andreotti <rjandreotti@yahoo.com>, Gunther Maier <gunther@newmexico.com>,

1 of 5

1/27/2015 4:30 PM

Hello Mr. Snyder,

I am off to a trade show in California so I can't respond in any detail until I get back.

Will the audit not follow the payments for work done on the Plaza?

Was there enough money left in the bonding after the rest of the projects were complete to fix the electric monolith mistake or was that money spent on other things?

This is a very complex issue and I would assume that the audit would pin point costs involved in creating the electric monolith and if there was enough to fix it when all the projects were complete.

This is a very important issue to many of us who have worked to protect the historic importance of the Plaza and we have a hard time believing that this issue is not being addressed in the audit.

We appreciate your looking into this detail.

JohnDressman

On Jan 20, 2015, at 6:46 PM, SNYDER, BRIAN K. wrote:

Mr. Dressman -

I am not aware the electric boxes are part of the 2008 Parks Bond Audit scope of work. I understand when the relocation of the electric boxes was evaluated it was determined by Council to be cost prohibitive. Brian

On Jan 20, 2015, at 3:35 PM, "plazawest@cybermesa.com"
<plazawest@cybermesa.com> wrote:

EXHIBIT 1

HDRB - Jan. 27, 2015

January 19, 2015

Dear Mr. Snyder,

We noticed that the 2008 Parks Bond audit completion has been put off until March.

The concern we have is with the Plaza Park part of that bond. As most of you know we have been

expressing our concerns since the erection of the electric monolith in 2009. The bond issue put to

the voters clearly stated that the funds for the Plaza were to be spent on "new hard surfaces,

new irrigation systems, LOWER UTILITY BOXES TO GRADE."

We asked the Mayor and Council in several different ways how the written intent of the bond issue was subverted.

No one came up with an answer. Mayor Coss offered some excuses but no documents or facts were forthcoming.

We are asking you now, in 2015, if this very delayed audit was answers.	vill provide any
We have attached two documents to represent some of the comback then.	nmunication from
Sincerely,	
John Dressman	
Santa Fe Downtown Merchants Inc.	
PO Box 10243  Santa Fe, NM 87504	

l of 5

