APPENDIX C City Resolution No. 2017-32, Creating a Public Bank Task Force, April 26, 2017

1 CITY OF SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 2 **RESOLUTION NO. 2017-32** 3 INTRODUCED BY: 4 5 Councilor Renee D. Villarreal Councilor Carmichael A. Dominguez 6 Councilor Joseph M. Maestas Councilor Peter N. Ives 7 Councilor Signe I. Lindell Councilor Mike Harris 8 9 10 A RESOLUTION 11 REQUESTING THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT STAFF TO PROVIDE THE 12 GOVERNING BODY WITH AN ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT FINANCIAL 13 MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AS THEY PERTAIN TO THE FINDINGS IN THE 14 PUBLIC BANKING FEASIBILITY STUDY; AND CREATING A TASK FORCE TO 15 DETERMINE THE PROCEDURES, TIMELINES AND REQUIREMENTS THAT 16 WOULD BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH A CHARTERED PUBLIC BANK AND TO 17 MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GOVERNING BODY IN PREPARATION FOR 18 THE GOVERNING BODY TO MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION. 19 20 WHEREAS, the primary goal and intent of continuing to explore the possibility of 21 establishing a chartered public bank in Santa Fe is to continue the ongoing enhancement of 22 responsible stewardship of public funds; and 23 WHEREAS, the call for a chartered public bank was initiated by the public, and the 24 mayor then convened local and national leaders to a forum in June 2014 to begin the discussion 25 of a public bank for the City of Santa Fe; and

WHEREAS, the city participated in an international broadcast symposium on public banking on September 27, 2014 with participants including civic leaders and citizens from across the nation and the world; and

WHEREAS, on October 20, 2014 the governing body approved a study be completed to explore the feasibility of establishing a public bank for the City of Santa Fe, and in 2015 the city contracted a study to explore the feasibility of establishing a chartered public bank for the city of Santa Fe; and

WHEREAS, on September 25, 2015 David Buchholtz of Rodey Law Firm provided a legal memorandum to the *WeArePeopleHere!* Educational Fund with perspectives on a public bank, and;

WHEREAS, the feasibility study was completed in January, 2016 and the findings reported to the public: a) areas for improvement in the city's liquidity management, investment performance-and capital financing administration, and b) that there could be financial benefit to the city if a chartered public bank were implemented; and

WHEREAS, the feasibility study did not address the process and responsibilities related to establishing a chartered public bank; and,

WHEREAS, since the completion of the feasibility study, several other municipalities and states are on active developmental tracks pursuing a public bank.

WHEREAS, since the completion of the feasibility study, the Governing Body adopted significant financial reforms that include, but are not limited to: updated financial policies for cash management and investments, a balanced budget policy in which recurring expenditures are only paid with recurring revenues, a one-year capital budget, and a 5-year capital improvement plan; and

WHEREAS, since the completion of the feasibility study the City's Finance Department has established a Treasury division; examined the City's cash and investment policies and

practices and adopted improvements; eliminated unnecessary fund accounts; restructured the City's debt portfolio and initiated an inter-fund loan policy in response to a recent bond transaction; and

WHEREAS, the City's 2017-2018 capital improvements plan identified more than \$8.8 million in unfunded needs for the next fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, financing infrastructure projects through bond issue increases costs to projects; and

WHEREAS, by potentially reducing the City's borrowing costs and capturing interest that would otherwise be paid to private investors, with a chartered public bank, the city can continue to participate in financially sound loans that promote our public welfare; and

WHEREAS, the City acknowledges opportunities remain to further improve the financial policies and practices of the City government; enhance and leverage relationships with other public and private financial institutions; and that it is ready to determine the potential role for a public bank.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE CITY OF SANTA FE: Within thirty (30) days the city Finance Department will provide a written report to the Governing Body and the public that details the changes in policy and practice for treasury, cash management and investment, and bank services since the completion of the Public Bank feasibility report and that identifies the significant opportunities that remain to further improve the financial policies and practices of the city government.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the mayor will appoint, with the approval of the governing body, members of a Public Bank Task Force within sixty (60) calendar days of adoption of this resolution.

PURPOSE: The sole purpose of the Public Bank Task Force is to provide the governing body with the information needed to make an informed decision about the pros and cons of submitting

initial appointments. Members shall serve without compensation.

25

MEETINGS; DURATION:

coordination with the chairperson shall lead the task force meetings. The chairperson shall coordinate with the professional facilitator to convene the task force within ninety (90) calendar days of adoption of this resolution by the governing body, facilitate meetings, take notes, schedule task force meetings and complete the work of the task force. The task force shall hold at least two (2) public meetings between three (3) and six (6) months from the first meeting to report to residents on their progress, to hear public comment, and to assure transparency and accountability to the public of the task force's efforts toward a coherent plan for the work necessary to determine the merits of establishing a public bank in Santa Fe. The task force shall report to the finance committee on the progress of their work after three (3) months of its first meeting. That the task force shall complete its work within six (6) months of its first meeting and provide a formal written and oral report of its findings and recommendations to the Finance Committee, and then to the Governing Body at a public meeting that provides for public comment.

Staff shall identify and utilize a third party facilitator who in

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 26th day of April, 2017.

16

15

17

18

19

20

ATTEST:

21 22

23 OLANDA Y. WIØIL, CITY CLERK

24

25

JAVIER M. GONZALES, MAYOR

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

WHITE A BRENNAN, CITY ATTORNEY

M/Legislation/Resolutions 2017/2017-32 Public Bank Task Force

FIR No. 3936

City of Santa Fe Fiscal Impact Report (FIR)

This Fiscal Impact Report (FIR) shall be completed for each proposed bill or resolution as to its direct impact upon the City's operating budget and is intended for use by any of the standing committees of and the Governing Body of the City of Santa Fe. Bills or resolutions with no fiscal impact still require a completed FIR. Bills or resolutions with a fiscal impact must be reviewed by the Finance Committee. Bills or resolutions without a fiscal impact generally do not require review by the Finance Committee unless the subject of the bill or resolution is financial in nature.

Section A. General Information								
(Check) Bill: Resolution: X (A single FIR may be used for related bills and/or resolutions)								
Short Title(s): A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT STAFF PROVIDE THE GOVERNING BODY WITH AN ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AS THEY PERTAIN TO THE FINDINGS IN THE PUBLIC BANKING FEASIBILITY STUDY AND THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY PROVIDE AN OPINION AS TO THE FEASIBILITY OF ESTABLISHING A PUBLIC BANK; AND CREATING A TASK FORCE TO DETERMINE THE PROCEDURES, TIMELINES AND REQUIREMENTS THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO ESTABLISH A CHARTERED PUBLIC BANK AND TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GOVERNING BODY IN PREPARATION FOR THE GOVERNING BODY TO MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION.								
Sponsor(s): Councilors Villarreal, Dominguez, Maestas, Ives and Lindell								
Reviewing Department(s): City Attorney's Office								
Persons Completing FIR: Alexandra Ladd Date: 4/4/17 Phone: 955-6346								
Reviewed by City Attorney: 3 M Shuth 186+City Attorney Date: 4/7/17 (Signature)								
Reviewed by Finance Director: (Signature) Date: 4.7.17								
Section B. Summary Briefly explain the purpose and major provisions of the bill/resolution: This resolution directs the Finance Department staff to provide the Governing Body with an assessment of the current financial management practices as they pertain to the findings in the public banking feasibility study; and creates a task force to determine the procedures, timelines and requirements that would be necessary to establish a chartered public bank and to make recommendations to the Governing Body in preparation for the Governing Body to make an informed decision.								
Section C. Fiscal Impact Note: Financial information on this FIR does not directly translate into a City of Santa Fe budget increase. For a budget increase, the following are required: a. The item must be on the agenda at the Finance Committee and City Council as a "Request for Approval of a City of Santa Fe Budget Increase" with a definitive funding source (could be same item and same time as								

b. Detailed budget information must be attached as to fund, business units, and line item, amounts, and explanations

c. Detailed personnel forms must be attached as to range, salary, and benefit allocation and signed by Human Resource Department for each new position(s) requested (prorated for period to be employed by fiscal year)*

bill/resolution)

(similar to annual requests for budget)

Finance Director:

		"A" if current budget and level of staffing will absorb the costs "N" if new, additional, or increased budget or staffing will be required "R" – if recurring annual costs "NR" if one-time, non-recurring costs, such as start-up, contract or equipment costs ditional projection schedules if two years does not adequately project revenue and cost patterns be netted or shown as an offset if some cost savings are projected (explain in Section 3 Narrative)									
	Check here if no fiscal impact										
	1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8		
	Expenditure Classification	FY <u>16/17</u>	"A" Costs Absorbed or "N" New Budget Required	"R" Costs Recurring or "NR" Non- recurring	FY_		"A" Costs Absorbed or "N" New Budget Required	"R" Costs – Recurring or "NR" Non- recurring	Fund Affected		
	Personnel*	\$			\$						
	Fringe**	\$		·	\$						
	Capital Outlay	\$			\$						
	Land/ Building	\$			\$						
	Professional Services	<u>\$_7032_</u>	A	NR_	\$				GF		
	All Other Operating Costs	\$	N	NR_	\$						
	Total:	<u>\$ 7032</u>			<u>\$</u>						
	* Any indication that additional staffing would be required must be reviewed and approved in advance by the City Manager by attached memo before release of FIR to committees. **For fringe benefits contact the Finance Dept.										

Column #	: 1	2	3	4	5	6
	Type of Revenue	FY	"R" Costs Recurring or "NR" Non- recurring	FY	"R" Costs – Recurring or "NR" Non- recurring	Fund Affected
		\$		\$		
		\$		\$		-
	Total:	\$		\$		
				,	7	

3. Expenditure/Revenue Narrative:

Explain revenue source(s). Include revenue calculations, grant(s) available, anticipated date of receipt of revenues/grants, etc. Explain expenditures, grant match(s), justify personnel increase(s), detail capital and operating uses, etc. (Attach supplemental page, if necessary.)

Costs noted above are for stenographer fees (\$1032) associated with meetings held by the task force and \$6000 to pay for a professional facilitator for the meetings. The costs assume six meetings requiring six hours of transcribing. These costs may vary depending on the number and length of meetings held by the task force. The funds to pay for the stenographer and the facilitator would come from unbudgeted cash in the general fund.

Section D. General Narrative

1. Conflicts: Does this proposed bill/resolution duplicate/conflict with/companion to/relate to any City code, approved ordinance or resolution, other adopted policies or proposed legislation? Include details of city adopted laws/ordinance/resolutions and dates. Summarize the relationships, conflicts or overlaps.

None identified.

2. Consequences of Not Enacting This Bill/Resolution:

Are there consequences of not enacting this bill/resolution? If so, describe.

The city would not benefit from the assembled expertise of a task force in order to better understand what steps would need to be taken to establish a public banking function for the city of Santa Fe.

3. Technical Issues:

Are there incorrect citations of law, drafting errors or other problems? Are there any amendments that should be considered? Are there any other alternatives which should be considered? If so, describe.

None identified.

4. Community Impact:

Briefly describe the major positive or negative effects the Bill/Resolution might have on the community including, but not limited to, businesses, neighborhoods, families, children and youth, social service providers and other institutions such as schools, churches, etc.

As indicated in the "Public Banking Feasibility Study – Final Report" a public banking initiative in Santa Fe has the potential to "provide enhanced fiscal management, improved net interest rate margins, and a more robust local lending climate." The report also notes that through streamlining and making the City's current internal financial management practices more efficient, public resources can be allocated to reduce the interest paid on borrowed cash. The study calls for increasing local investment through Community Development Financial Institutions and credit unions to address the needs of the most "under-banked" segment of the market