

# CITY OF SANTA FE

Buckman Direct Diversion

## Request for Proposal



**RFP # 23/28/P**

**AMENDMENT ISSUE DATE: 2/1/23 - Amendment #2**

**TITLE: Engineer for Redesign and Rehabilitation Plan for the Buckman Direct Diversion**

- 1. Evaluation, V. C.4: Cost**
- 2. Re-distribution of points awarded**
- 3. Pass/Fail criteria added**
- 4. Additional criteria for evaluation of Technical Specifications V.B.1.a**

**ELECTRONIC-ONLY PROPOSAL SUBMISSION**

Engineer for Redesign and Rehabilitation Plan for the Buckman Direct Diversion  
**RFP# 23/28/P**  
**Amendment # 2**

1. Cost will not be evaluated. All references to cost in the RFP will be removed.

**Cost (See Table 1, maximum possible points awarded 0)**

The evaluation of each Offeror’s cost proposal will be conducted using the following formula:  
The total cost of project will be used to calculate cost score

$$\frac{\text{Lowest Responsive Offeror's Cost (0 points)}}{\text{Each Offeror's Cost (0 points)}} \times \text{Available Award Points (0 points)}$$

2. Points will be re-distributed according to the table below and only be evaluated by the committee based on the how the respondent responds to the below Evaluation Factors.

Evaluation Factors	Points Available
<b>A. Technical Specifications</b>	
B. 1. Organizational Experience	400
B.1.c Rio Grande River Experience	200
B. 2. Organizational References	300
B.2.d Engineering Requirements	100
<b>B. Business Specifications</b>	
C.1. Active Performance Surety Bond	Pass/Fail
C.2. Letter Of Transmittal	Pass/Fail
C.3. Campaign Contribution Disclosure Form	Pass/Fail
C.4. Cost	0
C.5.a Current NM A&E License	Pass/Fail
<b>TOTAL POINTS AVAILABLE</b>	<b>1,000</b>
C.5. BDD Local Preference per Section IV C. 2	<b>30</b>
C.6. BDD Local Preference using Local Subcontractors Section IV C.2	<b>60</b>

3. Evaluation Factors C.5.a, point summary line is added as follows: Pass/Fail only. No points assigned. Must submit current NM A&E License to be considered.
4. IV Specifications B.2.d Technical Specifications. This Amendment is issued to add the following based on Engineering requirements from NMSA 13-1-120 (B, 1-7). The evaluation committee will re-

distribute 100 points from Organization Reference points (originally 400 points) to the scoring to reflect how well the proposal addresses the below 7 factors.

B. The appropriate selection committee shall select, ranked in the order of their qualifications, no less than three businesses deemed to be the most highly qualified to perform the required services, after considering the following criteria together with any criteria, except price, established by the using agency authorizing the project: (1-7)

1) specialized design and technical competence of the business, including a joint venture or association, regarding the type of services required;

(2) capacity and capability of the business, including any consultants, their representatives, qualifications and locations, to perform the work, including any specialized services, within the time limitations;

(3) past record of performance on contracts with government agencies or private industry with respect to such factors as control of costs, quality of work and ability to meet schedules;

(4) proximity to or familiarity with the area in which the project is located;

(5) the amount of design work that will be produced by a New Mexico business within this state;

(6) the volume of work previously done for the entity requesting proposals which is not seventy-five percent complete with respect to basic professional design services, with the objective of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified businesses and of assuring that the interest of the public in having available a substantial number of qualified businesses is protected; provided, however, that the principle of selection of the most highly qualified businesses is not violated; and

(7) notwithstanding any other provisions of this subsection, price may be considered in connection with construction management contracts, unless the services are those of an architect, engineer, landscape architect or surveyor.

**Please acknowledge receipt of this AMENDMENT with your ITB submission.**

Please add this Amendment No. 2 to the original RFP documents and refer to all RFP documents, hereto as such. This and all subsequent amendment/addenda shall become part of any resulting contract documents and have effects as if original issued. All other unaffected sections will have their original interpretation and remain in full force and effect. This documentation shall become permanent and made part of the departmental files.

END: Amendment #2